CLARK WILSON LLP



Amy A. Mortimore Clark Wilson LLP March 8, 2018

Introduction

- Your role as trusted advisor is to help clients achieve their goals.
- Estate planning can be difficult subject, but extremely important to raise it if any red flags.
- Today's presentation builds on Top 10 Red Flags hand out, with deeper understanding of selected issues.

Outline

- Intestacy
- Who is a spouse?
- Unintended consequences
 - wills variation
 - joint tenancy

- Intestacy: where the deceased dies with no valid Will
- Partial intestacy: where not all of the assets of the deceased are disposed of in the Will
- Distribution is pursuant to the Wills,
 Estates and Succession Act ("WESA")

- Spouse but no descendants: all to spouse
- Spouse and descendants: spouse all household furnishings, preferential share, and ½ residue. Descendants ½ residue.
 - Preferential share where deceased and spouse share descendants: \$300,000
 - Preferential share where deceased's descendants not shared with spouse: \$150,000

- No spouse, but descendants: to descendants, equally. If three children, A, B, and C. If B predeceased but left children D and E, then:
 - -1/3 to A
 - -1/3 equally to D and E (1/6 each)
 - -1/3 to C

- If no spouse and no descendants, then to parents
- If no spouse, descendants, or parents, then to descendants of parents (deceased's siblings/nieces/nephews)
- Ultimately, if no relations closer than the 5th degree, then all assets to the government

- How does this apply to your clients?
 - —If any assets left in their estate (i.e. not in a trust or passing outside of the estate or through jointure), no control over who receives their assets
 - Not usually desirable most prefer to plan

- Since 2001 in BC: may be opposite gender or same gender
- Formally married
- Had lived with each other in a marriagelike relationship for at least 2 years

- Re Connor Estate 2017 BCSC 978
 - –plaintiff and deceased in relationship just over 2 decades
 - —for 19 of those years, plaintiff married to another woman
 - –2012 plaintiff divorced wife, but did not live in same home as deceased

- deceased had hoarding illness, and parties never lived together
- mostly separate finances, but deceased did pay for trips together and allowed him access to her bank account if he needed it
- evidence that she had made a Will in his favour, but it was not found

- ultimately, Court found that despite not living together, there was a marriage-like relationship
- "Like human beings themselves, marriagelike relationship can come in many and various shapes."

- How does this apply to your clients?
 - Your client no longer there to provide evidence
 - May not consider plaintiff a spouse, and estate plans may be changed
 - Spouse inherits on an intestacy
 - Spouse has standing on wills variation

- Wills Variation
 - –spouse and/or children may ask Court to vary a Will
 - very common in blended families
 - marriage agreements are a factor, but not determinative

- Wills Variation
 - unequal distribution amongst
 children may be challenged
 - Court considers legal and moral obligations and can completely change the Will if it considers doing so fair

- How does wills variation affect your clients?
 - Court may order re-distribution of assets falling within the estate
 - Court may entirely change the plan made by client

- Court may consider, but <u>not</u> redistribute:
 - –assets passing by designation (RRSP/RRIF/TFSA/insurance)
 - -assets in an inter vivos trust
 - –assets passing by right of survivorship (joint tenancy)

- Joint tenants vs. tenants in common
 - -joint tenant: each owns the whole of the property, so that when 1st dies, property does not fall into estate it passes by right of survivorship
 - -tenant in common: each only owns their stated share, and when die, passes to their estate

- Common joint tenancy uses:
 - -saving probate fees (\$14 per \$1,000)
 - allow access to bank/investment accounts for adult child to assist aging parent
 - estate planning where unequal distribution/expected wills variation claim

- How does joint tenancy affect your clients?
 - in right circumstances, can be an effective planning tool

BUT

 can lead to resulting trust claims or fraudulent conveyance claims

- Resulting Trust
 - claim that the joint tenant only holds legal title, and the beneficial title belongs to the deceased
 - Pecore v. Pecore 2007 decision of the
 Supreme Court of Canada (2007 SCC 17)

- Fraudulent Conveyance Act
 - -2 sections in total:
 - s. 1 transfers made to hinder creditors or others of their remedies are void
 - s. 2 n/a to disposition for good consideration

Conclusion

- The title of this presentation is a question:
 "Estate planning what does your client need?"
- At the end of the day, knowing your clients, the nature of their assets, and their family dynamics will guide you in recommendations on estate planning.

CLARK WILSON LLP

These materials are necessarily of a general nature and do not take into consideration any specific matter, client or fact pattern.

Please direct inquiries or comments to:

AMY MORTIMORE

T: 604 643 3177

E: AMortimore@cwilson.com

www.cwilson.com

THANK YOU